

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/748,960	12/29/2003	Linda Pepin	2811-1A	7898
7:	590 06/28/2005		EXAM	INER
Eric Fincham			WILKENS, JANET MARIE	
316 Knowlton Road Lac Brome, QC JOE 1V0			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANADA .			3637	

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/748,960	PEPIN, LINDA			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Janet M. Wilkens	3637			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status	•					
1)⊠ F	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 A	A <i>pril 2005</i> .				
2a)⊠ T	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	s action is non-final.	!			
3)□ S	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
c	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositio	n of Claims					
4) 🛛 C	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.		•			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 April 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	·	,				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
	5) Nation of Jacobs Application (PTO 450)					
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) A Other: A Herhan				

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. For claim 6, it is unclear whether or not the "an upper end of said sheath" in line 6 is the same upper end as claimed previously.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Romero, Jr et al. Romero teaches a cover (Fig. 5; 38) consisting essentially of: a flexible rectangular sheet of textile material (42) secured at its longitudinal sides (via 44,46) to form a tubular sheath with open ends and a constant diameter. At a first end of the sheath is a slit (see Attachment A) and a securement means/drawstrings (48,50) with a retaining means (49,51). Note: only the subcombination is being claimed in claims 1-5.

Art Unit: 3637

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harmon in view of Romero, Jr. et al. Harmon teaches a cane with a decorative cover thereon (Fig. 1). For claim 6, Harmon fails to teach a cover/sheath made of material and including a slit and securement means at an upper end thereof. Romero teaches a cover with these properties (see 102 rejection above; sheath positionable with slit and drawstring portion at top end thereof) locatable over a tubular member. It would have been obvious to modify the cane assembly of Harmon by using an alternate cover thereon, i.e. using the material cover of Romero thereon instead of the plastic cover presently used, since these covers are functionally equivalent and it appears that either type of cover would work equally well on the cane of Harmon. Furthermore, the cover of Romero (which would be dimensioned to fit over the cane), would be readily removable and machine washable, if desired.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Romero, Jr. et al in view of Muk Kim. As stated above, Romero teaches the limitations of claims 1 and 2, including a cover made of a flexible rectangular sheet of textile material. For claim 3, Romero fails to teach that the material has printing thereon. Muk Kim teaches that textile material with printing thereon is well known in the art (see Fig. 1). Therefore,

it would have been obvious to modify the cover of Romero by using printed textile fabric for the material, for aesthetic purposes, etc.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/748,960 Page 5

Art Unit: 3637

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Janet M. Wilkens whose telephone number is (571) 272-6869. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571) 272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wilkens June 22, 2005 JANET M. WILKENS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

[Attachmint A]

U.S. Patent

Aug. 15, 2000

Sheet 2 of 4

6,102,076

